

1. PLANNING AND POLICY CONTEXT: INTRODUCTION AND APPROACH

Advanced Air Mobility (AAM) is often introduced through aircraft, technology, and future operating concepts. For local governments, that is not the most useful starting point.

Communities do not make planning decisions around aircraft alone. They make decisions around access, land use, infrastructure, public safety, economic development, environmental compatibility, emergency response, governance, and community trust. AAM may intersect with all of those issues, but it should not be treated as a standalone technology topic.

This planning and policy context series was developed to help Utah communities evaluate AAM through familiar public-sector planning questions:

- What problem is being solved?
- Who benefits?
- Who is affected?
- What infrastructure is needed?
- Who has authority?
- What local role is appropriate?
- How does the proposal fit adopted community goals?

This approach reflects the purpose of the Utah Department of Transportation AAM Community Engagement and Policy Toolkit. The toolkit is intended to provide guidance, best practices, and resources that political subdivisions may use when developing or evaluating AAM-related policy. It is not intended to require local adoption, replace local judgment, or promote a single statewide implementation model.

A Needs-First Planning Approach

The series begins with needs-first planning. This framework treats aviation as a tool rather than the goal itself. Communities are encouraged to begin with existing priorities such as emergency response, medical access, freight movement, workforce development, rural connectivity, airport modernization, resilience, and economic diversification. Aviation becomes relevant when it helps address a recognized community need.

This is an important distinction. A community does not need to pursue AAM simply because aircraft technology is evolving. It should evaluate whether aviation can support adopted goals, improve access, strengthen resilience, reduce friction, or create public value. This keeps the conversation grounded in community outcomes rather than technology enthusiasm.

Utah's Planning and Policy Context

The second part of the series explains why AAM is receiving attention in Utah now. Senate Bill 96 directed UDOT to conduct public education, community outreach, and toolkit development related to AAM. Utah's policy environment also includes Project Alta, 47G, airport planning, logistics growth, rural access needs, workforce priorities, and the long planning horizon created by the 2034 Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games.

The Utah context is not only about future air taxis. It includes cargo, electric aviation, uncrewed aircraft systems, airport modernization, emergency response, workforce development, economic opportunity, and local planning readiness. The plan review and survey work completed for this toolkit showed that many Utah stakeholders expect AAM to affect communities but also report limited familiarity and preparedness.

Transportation and Accessibility

The transportation section evaluates AAM through transportation planning concepts rather than aircraft performance claims. It asks whether aviation improves access to opportunity, reduces generalized travel burden, strengthens total trip utility, supports the transportation network, and creates net public benefit.

This approach helps communities avoid overvaluing speed alone. A short flight is only useful if the full trip works. Access to the departure point, reliability, cost, transfers, surface transportation, final destination access, and user needs all shape whether aviation creates real transportation value.

Infrastructure, Land Use, and Place

The infrastructure section reframes aviation facilities as part of the built environment. Airports, heliports, vertiports, drone facilities, and related infrastructure are not only landing areas. They require utilities, surface access, emergency response, communications, weather information, compatible land uses, and operational support.

This section also emphasizes that Utah already has aviation assets. Existing airports, heliports, industrial areas, logistics districts, utility systems, and transportation corridors may provide the most practical starting point for many future aviation opportunities. The question is not always where to build something new. Often, the first question is how existing assets can better support community goals.

Economic Development and Readiness

The economic development section distinguishes potential from readiness. Aviation-related proposals may promise jobs, investment, workforce opportunities, tourism benefits, logistics improvements, or economic diversification. Those claims should be evaluated against local conditions, infrastructure, workforce, market demand, implementation capacity, and public value.

The section introduces a readiness-oriented lens: community viability, locational viability, commercial viability, and implementation viability. This helps communities determine whether an opportunity is aligned, feasible, credible, and deliverable rather than merely attractive in concept.

Governance, Roles, Authority, and Coordination

The governance section addresses one of the central challenges in aviation planning: authority is fragmented. The FAA controls aircraft certification, pilot certification, airspace, and many operational safety requirements. Local governments control land use, zoning, local infrastructure, emergency response, and community-facing decisions. State agencies, airport sponsors, utilities, operators, MPOs, economic development organizations, and private partners may each control a different part of the system.

Because no single organization controls everything, coordination becomes the practical work of implementation. The governance section explains the value of convening structures, lead organizations, workstreams, early coordination, documented commitments, and careful use of intermediary organizations.

From Compatibility to Integration

The final section moves from traditional airport compatibility planning toward broader aviation integration. Compatibility remains essential. Communities still need tools to manage height, noise, safety, wildlife attractants, disclosure, obstructions, and incompatible development near aviation facilities.

But the planning conversation is broader now. Aviation facilities can also support emergency response, tourism, logistics, workforce development, transportation access, economic development, and regional connectivity. The goal is not only to reduce conflict. It is to understand when aviation infrastructure can become a useful part of the community system.

Why This Series Uses This Structure

This series follows a deliberate sequence.

It begins with community needs, then moves to Utah's policy context, transportation value, infrastructure and place, economic readiness, governance, and compatibility. That order matters.

Starting with aircraft would narrow the discussion too quickly. Starting with needs keeps the focus on public value. Moving next to Utah's planning context explains why the issue is timely. Transportation and infrastructure sections then evaluate whether aviation fits the system. Economic readiness and governance sections examine whether opportunities can realistically

move forward. The compatibility section ensures that implementation protects both aviation functions and surrounding communities.

Together, these sections create a planning framework for local governments. They do not tell every community to pursue the same aviation future. Instead, they help communities ask better questions, use existing planning tools, identify appropriate roles, and decide what level of action fits their needs.

The central message is simple: AAM should be evaluated as part of community planning.

References

Calthorpe, Peter, and William Fulton. 2001. *The Regional City: Planning for the End of Sprawl*. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Cedar City. 2023. *Cedar City General Plan Housing Update*. Cedar City, UT: Cedar City.

Cohen, Adam, Susan Shaheen, and Yolanka Wulff. 2024. *Planning for Advanced Air Mobility*. PAS Report 606. Chicago: American Planning Association.

Deakin, Elizabeth, ed. 2020. *Transportation, Land Use, and Environmental Planning*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Federal Aviation Administration. 2022. *Airport Land Use Compatibility Planning*. Advisory Circular 150/5190-4B. Washington, DC: Federal Aviation Administration.

Leigh, Nancey Green. 2025. *Planning Local Economic Development: Theory and Practice*. 8th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

Levine, Jonathan, Joe Grengs, and Louis A. Merlin. 2019. *From Mobility to Accessibility: Transforming Urban Transportation and Land-Use Planning*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Marsden, Greg, and Louise Reardon, eds. 2018. *Governance of the Smart Mobility Transition*. Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing.

McKee Miller, Monika, J. Peng, J. Metro, and D. Eskic. 2022. *Airports as Connected Activity Centers*. Report UT-22.08. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Transportation Research & Innovation Division.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2010. *Enhancing Airport Land Use Compatibility*. ACRP Report 27. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2019. Guidebook on Effective Land Use Compatibility Planning Strategies for General Aviation Airports. ACRP Research Report 206. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Utah Code. 2026. Title 72, Chapter 10, Part 4, Airport Zoning Act.

Utah Department of Transportation. 2023. Utah Unified Transportation Plan 2023–2050. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Transportation.

Utah Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics. 2023. Utah Aviation Development Strategy. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Transportation.

Utah Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics. 2025. Utah Advanced Air Mobility Economic Impact Analysis. Salt Lake City: Utah Department of Transportation.

Utah Legislature. 2025. Utah Code § 72-1-218, Advanced Air Mobility Community Outreach.

Utah Legislature. 2025. Utah Code § 72-10-703, Advanced Air Mobility Toolkit.