

Brief History and Evolution of AAM: New Technology, Familiar Ambitions

Advanced Air Mobility (AAM) can sound like a sudden new category of transportation. In reality, many of its underlying ideas are familiar. Aviation has long been used, tested, or imagined as a way to improve access, move people and goods, support emergency response, connect rural communities, inspect infrastructure, and shorten time-sensitive trips.

What is new is the emerging technology stack: electric and hybrid propulsion, unmanned aircraft systems, automation, digital traffic management, powered-lift aircraft, app-based operations, and new infrastructure concepts such as vertiports. Recent national AAM policy describes a phased approach to implementation, with attention to public engagement, infrastructure, workforce, planning, and clear roles among federal, state, regional, local, and private-sector actors.

For local and regional governments, the historical lesson is straightforward: aircraft concepts succeed when the supporting ecosystem is ready. That ecosystem includes infrastructure, workforce, operations, public safety, governance, public trust, and a clear reason for the aviation use case to exist.

AAM Is New Technology Applied to Older Problems

The first wave of “flying car” concepts appeared long before today’s eVTOL industry. Early roadable aircraft tried to combine the car and airplane into one vehicle. They were technically interesting but commercially limited because cars and aircraft have different design, safety, regulatory, and infrastructure requirements.

That history is relevant because the phrase “flying car” still distorts public understanding. Most modern AAM vehicles are aircraft, not ‘cars’ as commonly understood. They need aviation infrastructure, trained personnel, operating procedures, ground access, emergency response planning, and compatible land uses. The issue for local governments is not whether cars will start taking off from neighborhood streets (they won’t... at least in the near term), but whether new aviation operations fit local needs, land use, infrastructure, utilities, public safety, and community expectations.

Urban air service also has precedent. Helicopter passenger services operated in major cities decades ago, including airport shuttles and scheduled urban routes. Those services showed that some travelers value time-saving air connections, especially where surface travel is slow or inconvenient. They also showed the practical conditions that shape whether a service can scale: safety perception, noise, cost, landing sites, operational complexity, and business model durability.

Passenger eVTOL may use different aircraft, but it inherits many of the same community questions. Where does it land? How does it sound? Who uses it? How does it connect to the

ground transportation system? What infrastructure does it require? What public value does it provide?

Public-Service Aviation Is Already Part of the Story

Aviation has been most durable where it provides clear public value. Emergency medical services, air ambulance operations, wildfire support, search and rescue, and disaster response all show that aviation can be more than premium mobility.

Utah's planning context points to this same pattern. The strongest planning-supported use cases may not be passenger air taxis. Survey data shows that it might actually be emergency medical services, emergency response and wildfire support, medical logistics, cargo and freight, rural access, infrastructure inspection, aviation readiness, resilience, and multimodal integration. Utah already has air ambulance infrastructure and aviation-related wildland firefighting roles, making public-service aviation an existing, present-day, function rather than a speculative future concept.

This is important for public-facing communications. Communities are more likely to understand aviation when it is tied to a visible need: saving time in an emergency, reaching a remote location, assessing damage after a disaster, or moving critical supplies. These use cases do not eliminate concerns about safety, noise, privacy, or authority, but they provide a clearer public-purpose frame than leading with air taxi concepts.

Drones Are the Near-Term AAM Entry Point

For many local governments, the first practical encounter with AAM is drones.

Small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) are already used for public works, infrastructure inspection, mapping, construction monitoring, emergency assessment, and operations that are dangerous or time-consuming from the ground. These applications are easier for local governments to understand because they connect to familiar agency responsibilities: roads, bridges, drainage, utilities, disaster response, and asset management. And there is already fairly wide adoption, so one doesn't have to go far to find a community with an established drone program that can offer help.

That said, not that every local government needs a drone program. The lesson is that targeted aviation tools can often mature before complex passenger operations. UAS readiness involves trained remote pilots, clear operating procedures, FAA compliance, data management, privacy policies, public communication, and careful separation between asset inspection and surveillance.

Drone delivery and medical logistics should be handled with the same discipline. Existing regional planning documents in Utah have referenced drone delivery activity, including medical logistics examples. Within emerging tech, deployment status can change quickly, so these should be treated as examples of how the use case has been explored in Utah, not as proof of

ongoing statewide operations. The same caution applies to retail drone delivery. These models can shift quickly as companies have recently consolidated operations around FAA-approved test regions, operational partnerships, or stronger market conditions.

The local planning question is often whether the proposed delivery model fits local land use, safety, privacy, noise, and public benefit expectations.

Regional and Rural Aviation: From SATS to RAM

Regional air mobility is not a new desire in a new acronym. For decades, aviation planners have explored how smaller airports and smaller aircraft could provide better access for communities not well served by major commercial airports.

The Transportation Research Board's earlier Small Aircraft Transportation System (SATS) work explored distributed aviation using community airports. NASA's Regional Air Mobility (RAM) work updates that idea with modern technologies and business models. The RAM concept builds on a national network of thousands of public-use airports, many of which are underused compared with the small number of major airports that handle most passenger travel.

For some communities, the most relevant AAM asset may not be a new vertiport. It may be an existing airport that needs protection, maintenance, utility planning, weather capability, ground access, and a realistic role in regional mobility.

RAM also helps distinguish regional aviation from urban air taxi concepts. RAM generally builds on existing airports and mid-distance trips. Urban air mobility often depends more heavily on existing airport and heliport, as well as new vertiport infrastructure and shorter urban or airport-shuttle markets. Both may benefit from electric propulsion, automation, and new operating models, but they create different planning questions.

The planning takeaway is that regional mobility is an infrastructure question as much as an aircraft question.

STOL and Short-Field Access

Short takeoff and landing concepts are another reminder that AAM is not only about vertical lift. STOL concepts have long explored how aircraft could serve communities with less runway than conventional aircraft require. Historic STOLport planning shows that even aircraft needing shorter runways still require land, clear approach paths, compatible surrounding uses, emergency access, operating procedures, and community acceptance.

That history is useful for local governments because it reframes the infrastructure question. Sometimes the relevant innovation is not "no runway." It is "less runway, better access, and smarter use of existing facilities."

For Utah communities, STOL and short-field concepts may be relevant to rural access, airport reuse, emergency logistics, and regional mobility. Many corporate missions require at least

5,500' of runway length (at sea level). At Utah's higher altitudes, they need more. Having a shorter runway often means less opportunity, but with STOL technologies, airports featuring smaller runways may find new utility. But they still require careful site planning. Reduced infrastructure is not the same as no infrastructure.

What Is Actually New Today

The modern AAM conversation is different because several technologies are converging at once.

Electric propulsion may change aircraft design, maintenance, and operating economics. Hybrid-electric and hydrogen systems may create additional options. Automation may reduce workload or support new operating models. UAS Traffic Management may support more dense and complex drone activity. Powered-lift certification and vertiport design guidance are creating a more formal pathway for aircraft that do not fit neatly into traditional airplane or helicopter categories.

These changes create local readiness questions. Communities may need to think about utility capacity, charging infrastructure, fire response, building codes, airport and heliport adaptation, surface access, workforce, and coordination with FAA, UDOT, airport sponsors, operators, and utilities.

The environmental claims also need to be used with care. Electric aircraft may reduce certain local emissions and change noise profiles, but electricity is not automatically emissions-free, and batteries remain a significant constraint in aviation. Local governments should avoid unqualified claims that aircraft are "silent," "zero-emission," "affordable," or "equitable." Better language is more precise: electric aircraft may have different noise profiles, less disruptive than current fossil fuel aircraft. They may reduce certain direct, non-point-source emissions. Most importantly, they may support public benefits where the use case, infrastructure, and operating model fit.

Passenger eVTOL and Air Taxi: One Future-Facing Pathway

Passenger eVTOL is important, but it should not define the entire AAM story.

It is one possible future use case among many. It is also one of the more demanding use cases because it requires a more demanding set of regulatory and integration hurdles, including certified aircraft, trained pilots or approved enhanced automation, suitable landing infrastructure, power supply, emergency response planning, airspace integration, public acceptance, and a business model that works. Other use cases also require much of this, but risk tolerance is different with smaller aircraft, or larger aircraft carrying cargo. When life is on board, the risk tolerance equation shifts to be much more conservative.

History has shown that helicopter air taxi services demonstrated public interest in faster urban travel, but they also showed that aircraft capability alone does not create a durable

transportation system. For passenger eVTOL to succeed, the community ecosystem must be ready: land use, utilities, emergency access, ground connections, public communication, operator responsibility, role clarity, demand, and maybe most importantly, human capital in a trained workforce.

eVTOL airtaxis may take time to prepare for, but forward-leaning communities can still prepare. The prudent approach is not to build anything and wait. It is to create the conditions under which a proposed use case can be evaluated responsibly: clear definitions, site compatibility, utility coordination, emergency response planning, public engagement, and alignment with adopted community goals.

What History Teaches Local Governments

The history of AAM is not just a history of aircraft. It is a history of systems.

Earlier aviation concepts struggled when aircraft capability moved faster than infrastructure, economics, workforce, safety planning, or public trust. The use cases that endured tended to have a clearer purpose: emergency response, medical transport, cargo, rural access, airport connectivity, or public-sector operations.

Local governments do not need to predict the future of every aircraft company. They need to ask better questions. What need is being served? What aircraft or operation is actually proposed? What infrastructure is required? Who has authority? What workforce is needed? What public safety planning is required? How will the community experience the operation? What conditions would make the use case successful?

You'll learn in the *Roles and Responsibilities* module, the FAA controls airspace, aircraft certification, pilot certification, and air traffic management. Local governments have a different role: land use, zoning, facility siting, building and fire code, emergency response, utilities, public engagement, and community fit.

The aircraft may be new, which is certainly a challenge for the FAA and industry, but the lesson for communities is not. Aviation works best when it fits a real need and the whole system is ready.

Key Takeaways

AAM is new technology applied to familiar aviation ambitions. Local governments should evaluate use-case fit, not just aircraft novelty.

Public-service aviation is the strongest historical anchor. EMS, wildfire response, emergency response, infrastructure inspection, and medical logistics are easier to explain than premium passenger mobility.

Drones are the practical near-term entry point for many agencies. UAS readiness may matter sooner than passenger eVTOL readiness.

Near-term air mobility builds on existing airports. Local airports may be major AAM assets if protected and planned well.

Passenger eVTOL is future-facing and readiness-dependent. Communities can prepare without treating air taxis as inevitable.

Infrastructure is the throughline. Landing sites, utilities, workforce, emergency response, governance, and public trust matter as much as aircraft.

References

American Planning Association. 2024. *Planning for Advanced Air Mobility. PAS Report 606.*

Cohen, Adam P., Susan A. Shaheen, and Emily M. Farrar. 2021. "Urban Air Mobility: History, Ecosystem, Market Potential, and Challenges." *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*.

Federal Aviation Administration. 2024. *Engineering Brief No. 105A: Vertiport Design.*

Federal Aviation Administration. 1970. *Planning and Design Criteria for Metropolitan STOL Ports.* Advisory Circular 150/5300-8.

Garrow, Laurie A., Brian J. German, and Caroline E. Leonard. 2021. "Urban Air Mobility: A Comprehensive Review and Comparative Analysis with Autonomous and Electric Ground Transportation." *Transportation Research Part C* 132.

Government Accountability Office. 2022. *Transforming Aviation: Stakeholders Identified Issues to Address for Advanced Air Mobility.*

Government Accountability Office. 2023. *Drones: FAA Should Improve Its Approach to Integrating Drones into the National Airspace System.*

Government Accountability Office. 2024. *Advanced Air Mobility: Legal Authorities and Issues to Consider for Operations.*

Intermountain Health. "Life Flight Celebrates 35 Years of Live-Saving Operations in the Intermountain West."

Local Government Survey Alignment with Utah Strategies and Plans. Internal Briefing Paper.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration. 2021. *Regional Air Mobility: Leveraging Our National Investments to Energize the American Travel Experience.*

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2002. *Future Flight: A Review of the Small Aircraft Transportation System Concept.*

Transportation Research Board. 2024. *Advanced Air Mobility and Community Outreach: A Primer for Successful Stakeholder Engagement*. ACRP Research Report 261.

Utah Department of Transportation. 2022. *Utah Aviation Development Strategy*.

Utah Department of Transportation. 2023. *Utah Freight Plan*.

Utah Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands. "Forestry, Fire and State Lands Expands Wildfire Aviation Program."

U.S. Department of Transportation. 2025. *Advanced Air Mobility National Strategy*.

U.S. Department of Transportation. 2025. *Advanced Air Mobility Comprehensive Plan*.